2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

FILED

Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles

03/21/2025

David W. Shytor, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court

y: _____ T. Lewis ___ Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

JAMES WEDEL, CHARLES MCWHALES, SIMON MASHIAN, FAUSTO HERNANDEZ, MICHAELA VIVANT, MARJAN SVETKO, PEI EN CHONG SAMPSON, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs.

v.

DOUGLAS EMMETT, INC., a Maryland Corporation; BARRINGTON PACIFIC, LLC, a California limited liability company; DOUGLAS EMMETT MANAGEMENT, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; and DOES 1–50, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 20STCV03962

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND ENTERING JUDGMENT

2728

On June 24, 2024, this Court entered an order granting preliminary approval, finding on a preliminary basis that the settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable; appointing the undersigned as Class Counsel; appointing plaintiffs James Wedel, Charles McWhales, Simon Mashian, Fausto Hernandez, Michaela Vivant, Marjan Svetko, and Pei en Chong Sampson (collectively, "Plaintiffs") as the class representatives; appointing CPT Group, Inc. ("CPT") as the settlement administrator; and directing that notice be provided to the class. Plaintiffs now seek an order granting final approval of the Amended Settlement Agreement and Addendum, attached as **Exhibit 1** to the Supplemental Declaration of Erick Kuylman filed in support of the motion for final approval.

The Court preliminarily approved that this litigation could be maintained as a class action for settlement purposes and, therefore, it conditionally certified the following class for settlement purposes: "All leaseholders at the Barrington Plaza Apartments, 11740 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, California, as of January 29, 2020."

The Court appointed Warren Terzian LLP and Geragos & Geragos, APC as class counsel. The Court appointed James Wedel, Charles McWhales, Simon Mashian, Fausto Hernandez, Michaela Vivant, Marjan Svetko, and Pei en Chong Sampson as the class representatives. The Court designated CPT Group, Inc. ("CPT") as the settlement administrator.

The Court further directed the parties to provide notice to the class, which informed class members of: (a) the proposed settlement and the settlement's key terms; (b) the date, time, and location of the final approval hearing; (c) the right of any class member to object to the proposed settlement, and an explanation of the procedures to exercise that right; (d) the right of any class member to exclude themselves from the proposed settlement, and an explanation of the procedures to exercise that right; and (e) an explanation of the procedures for class members to participate in the proposed settlement.

Thereafter, Plaintiffs filed an unopposed motion for final approval of class action settlement and supporting preliminary approval order, and having considered the proposed settlement, as well as all papers filed in support of the motion for final approval, hereby **ORDERS**, **ADJUDGES**,

AND DECREES AS FOLLOWS:

1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action and over all parties

3 approved.

3. The Court finds that the class is properly certified as a class for settlement purposes only: "All leaseholders at the Barrington Plaza Apartments, 11740 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, California, as of January 29, 2020."

The settlement is in all respects fair, reasonable, and adequate, and it is hereby

- 4. The Court appoints plaintiffs James Wedel, Charles McWhales, Simon Mashian, Fausto Hernandez, Michaela Vivant, Marjan Svetko, and Pei en Chong Sampson as class representatives for settlement purposes only.
- 5. The Court appoints Warren Terzian LLP and Geragos & Geragos, APC as class counsel for settlement purposes only.
- 6. The notice provided to the settlement class conforms with the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382, California Civil Code section 1781, California Rules of Court 3.766 and 3.769, the California and United States Constitutions, and any other applicable law, and constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances, by providing individual notice to all class members who could be identified through reasonable effort, and by providing due and adequate notice of the proceedings and of the matters set forth therein to the other class members. The notice fully satisfied the requirements of due process.
- 7. The Court finds the settlement was entered into in good faith, that the settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and that the settlement satisfies the standards and applicable requirements for final approval of this class action settlement under California law, including the provisions of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and California Rules of Court, rule 3.769.
- 8. With respect to notice and settlement administration, zero (0) class members have objected to the Settlement and two (2) class members, Dawn Levine and Ajay Bapna, have requested to be excluded from the settlement. The positive response of the class underscores that the settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate.
 - 9. Upon entry of this order, compensation to the class members of the settlement shall

be paid a cash payment pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreement.

- 10. All envelopes transmitting settlement distribution to settlement class members shall bear the notation, "YOUR CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT CHECK IS ENCLOSED."
- 11. If any settlement distribution check has not been negotiated within sixty (60) days after the date of mailing, CPT shall mail a reminder postcard to such class member.
- 12. Any settlement payment check that is not negotiated within ninety (90) days after its mailing by CPT shall be void.
- 13. Unless CPT determines that it would not be economical to do so, any portion of the settlement amount, including any accrued interest thereon, that remains unpaid at the end of ninety (90) days after the date of initial mailing of settlement checks shall be distributed in a second distribution to those class members who negotiated their initial settlement check.
- 14. In any such second distribution, each class member will be entitled to receive a settlement check in an amount computed by multiplying the total amount of residual funds that remain to be distributed by a fraction, the numerator of which is the class member's distribution amount, and the denominator of which is the sum total of all settlement checks that were made in the first distribution.
- 15. If CPT determines that it would not be economical to undertake a second distribution, or if there are checks that remain uncashed sixty (60) days after the mailing of second distribution checks, any residual settlement funds shall be paid to Inner City Law Group as the *cy pres* recipient.
- 16. In addition to any recovery that Plaintiffs may receive as a class member under the settlement, and in recognition of Plaintiffs' efforts on behalf of the class, the Court hereby approves the payment of a class representative enhancement award in the amount of \$4,000 to each Plaintiff, totaling \$28,000, for their services as class representatives, and Defendants shall cause this sum to be paid to Plaintiffs in accordance with the terms of the settlement agreement.
- 17. The Court approves attorneys' fees in the amount of \$313,125 (75% of \$417,500) and costs in the amount of \$54,217.57 to Warren Terzian LLP, and Defendants shall cause this sum

to be paid to CPT in accordance with the terms of the settlement agreement.

- 18. The Court approves attorneys' fees in the amount of \$104,375 (25% of \$417,500) and costs in the amount of \$4,437.80 to Geragos & Geragos, APC, and Defendants shall cause this sum to be paid to CPT in accordance with the terms of the settlement agreement.
- 19. The Court approves the payment of actual settlement administration costs in the amount of \$13,000 to CPT, and Defendants shall cause this sum to be paid to CPT in accordance with the terms of the settlement agreement.
- 20. The gross settlement fund, the net settlement fund, and the methodology used to calculate and pay each class member's individual settlement payment are fair and reasonable, and the Court authorizes CPT to issue individual settlement payments to each class member in accordance with the terms of the settlement agreement.
- 21. Upon the effective date, Plaintiffs and all members of the class, shall have, by operation of this order and judgment, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged Defendants from all release claims as defined by the terms of the settlement agreement.
- 22. Judgment in this matter is entered in accordance with the terms of the settlement agreement, the Court's preliminary approval order, and this final approval order against Defendant in favor of Plaintiffs and the settlement class. This judgment is intended to be a final disposition of the above captioned action in its entirety and is intended to be immediately appealable.
- 23. This Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to all matters related to the administration and consummation of the settlement, to enforce the terms of the judgment, and any and all claims, asserted in, arising out of, or related to the subject matter of the lawsuit, including but not limited to all matters related to the Settlement and the determination of all controversies relating thereto.
- 24. Class counsel shall file a notice of entry of order and judgment granting final approval, and provide the same to CPT, who shall post the order and judgment on its website within seven (7) calendar days after entry of the order and judgment. CPT shall post a copy of this signed judgment for one hundred and eighty (180) calendar days on its website in compliance with Rule 3.771(b) of the California Rules of Court in order to provide notice to the class members of this

1	order and judgment. CPT shall also provide a mailed copy of the notice of entry of order and		
2	judgment to class members.		
3	25. A final report (nonappearance) hearing regarding compliance with the terms of the		
4	Settlement is set for July 22, 2025, at 8:30 a.m. in Department 11. No later than five court days		
5	prior to the nonappearance hearing, Plaintiffs' counsel shall file a report as well as a declaration by		
6	the Settlement Administrator regarding distribution of the Settlement Amount and any uncashed		
7	checks.		
8	26. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over this action, including with respect to all		
9	matters related to the administration and consummation of the Settlement, to enforce the terms of		
10	this Judgment, and the determination of any controversies relating thereto.		
11	27. This document shall constitute entry of Judgment for purposes of California Rule of		
12	Court, Rule 3.769(h).		
13			
14	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED.		
15	Dated: 03/21/2025		
16	Dated: Hon. David S. Cunningham		
17	Los Angeles County Superior Court Judge		
18			
19			
20			
2122			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
_ 5	5		

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this action. I am employed in the county where the service occurred; my business address is 222 N. Pacific Coast Highway, Suite 2000, Los Angeles, CA 90245.

On the undersigned date, I caused to be served the following documents:

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND ENTERING JUDGMENT

I caused the documents to be served on the interested parties:

Mark Geragos	Dana Fox
Ben Meiselas	Dawn Flores-Oster
Geragos & Geragos, APC	Matthew Harrison
644 South Figueroa Street	Kellian Summers
Los Angeles, CA 90071	Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP
geragos@geragos.com	633 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000
meiselas@geragos.com	dana.fox@lewisbrisbois.com
	dawn.flores-oster@lewisbrisbois.com
Counsel for Plaintiffs	matthew.harrison@lewisbrisbois.com
	kellian.summers@lewisbrisbois.com
	Counsel for Defendants
	Barrington Pacific, LLC and
	Douglas Emmett Management, LLC

Arthur J. Chapman
David A. Napper
Jill Johnson
Chapman Glucksman Dean & Roeb, APC
11900 W. Olympic Boulevard, Suite 800
Los Angeles, California 90064-0704
achapman@cgdrlaw.com
dnapper@cgdrlaw.com
jjohnson@cgdrlaw.com

Counsel for Defendant Douglas Emmett, Inc.

I electronically served the documents via CaseAnywhere on all the interested parties.

1	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing			
2	is true and correct.			
3				
4	Dated: March 19, 2025	Eikgl.	_	
5		Erick Kuylman		
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28		_		
	ll .	7		

Order Granting Final Approval and Entering Judgment